



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,026	11/03/2003	Steven G. Mathena		2144	
7.	590 07/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Steven G. Mathena			GALL, LLOYD A		
2524 Congress Ft. Myers, FL			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/699,026	MATHENA, STEVEN G.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	111				
	Lloyd A. Gall	3676	M				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.						
	<del>/</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PT(	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/699,026

Art Unit: 3676

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The second line of page 6 (ABSTRACT) is grammatically incorrect. On page 1, line 9, "A that" should apparently read –At that--. On page 1, line 9, "when" should be capitalized. On page 2, line 14, "at" should be capitalized. On page 3, line 3, "of" should be deleted. On page 3, line 13, "this" should be capitalized. On page 3, line 20, "13" should be replaced with –19--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 5, it is not clear how information is read in opening (19a) in figure 3. In claim 1, line 6, "reader bar" should be replaced with –bar reader--. See also claim 1, line 7 and claim 2, line 5. In claim 2, line 5, --which—should be inserted after "information". In claim 3, line 5, "the" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell in view of Easley.

McConnell teaches a system for duplicating keys including a basic key reader 14 and a sliding bar reader 32 for touching and determining the location, depths and spaces of wafers within a lock, and reading information at 80, 82 in an opening 30 of the head 16

Application/Control Number: 10/699,026

Art Unit: 3676

of the reader. The free end thereof at numeral 36 in figure 1 defines a knob for the bar reader. Easley teaches providing a bend 22a, 22b for a bar reader to define a knob 28a, 28a. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a bend in the bar reader 32 of McConnell, in view of the teaching of Easley, the motivation being to provide a separate knob for actuating the reader, as well as to provide a friction fit for the reader 32 within the opening 32, to prevent the inadvertent separation or loss of the bar reader 32 from the reader 14.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell in view of Herzenberg.

McConnell has been discussed above. Herzenberg teaches providing an identical color (see color match of column 5, line 51) between a knob portion 36 of a key portion and a holder portion 82. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an identical color between the knob (at 36) of McConnell and the reader 14, in view of the teaching of Herzenberg, as an indication of which bar reader should be used with which basic reader.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell in view of Naill (151) and Heredia.

Mcconnell has been discussed above. Naill (151) teaches recording information (see column 3, line 2) for lock decoders 52 for vehicles (see column 1, line 62). Heredia teaches that it is well known to record vehicle information on cards 16, as seen in figure 10J. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to record the information of vehicles used with the basic key reader

Application/Control Number: 10/699,026

Art Unit: 3676

Page 4

of McConnell on cards, in view of the respective teachings of Naill and Heredia, the motivation being to provide a directory for convenient access of information for specific locks, for key duplication purposes.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 703-308-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LG LG July 27, 2004 Lloyd a. Wall
Lloyd A. Gall
Primary Examiner